



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/425,617	10/22/1999	HIROSHI OMURA	Q56369	9804	
759	90 04/20/2005	EXAMINER			
	ION ZINN MACPEA	YE, LIN			
	LVANIA AVENUE N W N, DC 200373202	,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	.,,		2615		

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/425,617	OMURA, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lin Ye	2615		

Before the I filling of all Appeal Birch	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lin´ Ye	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 7-11.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	_
			- KO.
	PR	TUAN HO NMARY EXAMINER)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as to claims 1-4 and 7-11.

For claim 1, the applicant argues that references of Oka does not teach or suggest automatically designating the position of the human subject based on the selected types of identification photograph. Instead, Oka only discloses editing/changing the size of the image data of the entire photograph base on the selected type of the identification photograph. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner clearly explained that the Oka reference discloses both size and position of the human subject and a picture frame size automatically designated by the selected type of identification photograph. Please see Figure 36 of the Oka reference, after shooting the image, the CPU (421, see Figure 35 and Col. 14, lines 61-64) automatically editing the image data (See Col. 13, lines 58-61), such as the size and position of the human subject (e.g., in Figure 34, the size and position of the human subject of passport are different from the size and position of the human subject of the Visa, i.e., the passport cutline marking 424 starts in difference position compared with Visa cutline marking 424, therefore the position of human subject is based on the selected type of identification photograph) and a picture frame size (a passport size 45mmx35mm and a visa 50mmx50mm) designated by the selected type of identification photograph, and the size an position of the human subject and the picture frame size (e.g., the patterns 420 for passport, visa or any type of identification photograph, see Col. 16, lines 1-3) are stored in an internal memory (e.g., microcomputer 415 has a internal memory inherently and can stores

Art Unit: 2615

the patterns 420 for passport, visa or any type of identification photograph in order the CPU 421 automatically processing the image data corresponding to the selected type of identification photograph).

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TUAN HO

Lin Ye April 14, 2005